## Code Refresh

# Equitable Transportation Working Group Meeting #1 – May 13, 2025 (Module 1)

### TABLE 1

- 1. Zoning Assumptions: As the proposed zoning is mapped throughout the City, how should the transportation network impact the proposed zoning? Specially, how should the following impact the proposed zoning?
  - a. Major Streets from Richmond 300
    - Anything with driveway access should require SUP
    - CG may not be needed keep cars in the back
    - Leigh Street biking & ped improvements missing connection
    - Zoning? Decrease nonconform, or look to future?
    - Upzone streets All major? Great Streets? Only commercial major?
  - b. Great Streets from Richmond 300
    - [no notes]
  - c. Greenways/Shared-Use Paths
  - d. On-street Bike Facilities
    - Increase density along enhanced transit
    - Timing of multimodal improvements for large developments
      - o Examples: Diamond District; and Allianz Amphitheater
  - e. Transit Network including Enhanced Transit Map from Richmond 300, the existing and proposed BRT routes, and other transit routes
    - [no notes]
  - f. High-Injury Network
    - [no notes]
  - g. Roadway Width
- 2. Vehicle Oriented Uses: Where should vehicle-oriented uses including vehicle service/fueling services be located? Should there be use standards related to size, number of pumps, mix of uses, separation of similar uses, or other requirements?
  - Limit the number of entrances one in & one out
  - Don't want to overregulate thriving gas station vs vacant lot
  - Outside of the walkable neighborhoods
  - Ban vape shops!
  - Create by-right trail-oriented develop.
    - o Trail and sidewalk frontage
    - o Guide develop. along new ped. zones.

## TABLE 2

- 1. Zoning Assumptions: As the proposed zoning is mapped throughout the City, how should the transportation network impact the proposed zoning? Specially, how should the following impact the proposed zoning?
  - a. Major Streets from Richmond 300
    - [no notes]
  - b. Great Streets from Richmond 300

- [no notes]
- c. Greenways/Shared-Use Paths
- d. On-street Bike Facilities
  - [no notes]
- e. Transit Network including Enhanced Transit Map from Richmond 300, the existing and proposed BRT routes, and other transit routes
  - ¼ mile from each station of enhanced transit service
  - Upzoned areas should have complete streets
  - Upzone everywhere
  - Make Southside pedestrian zones (with an arrow pointing to the Southside/9<sup>th</sup>
    District/ Warwick area, generally)
- f. High-Injury Network
  - [no notes]
- g. Roadway Width
- 2. Vehicle Oriented Uses: Where should vehicle-oriented uses including vehicle service/fueling services be located? Should there be use standards related to size, number of pumps, mix of uses, separation of similar uses, or other requirements?
  - Bring building to street
  - Allow in mixed-use
  - Not on major residential
  - Limit on pumps
  - Make Conditional Use in MX
  - Service
    - Number of bays
    - Bring building to street
  - Pull out auto rentals from sales

## TABLE 3

- 1. Zoning Assumptions: As the proposed zoning is mapped throughout the City, how should the transportation network impact the proposed zoning? Specially, how should the following impact the proposed zoning?
  - a. Major Streets from Richmond 300
    - [no notes]
  - b. Great Streets from Richmond 300
    - [no notes]
  - c. Greenways/Shared-Use Paths
  - d. On-street Bike Facilities
    - [no notes]
  - e. Transit Network including Enhanced Transit Map from Richmond 300, the existing and proposed BRT routes, and other transit routes
    - [no notes]
  - f. High-Injury Network
    - [no notes]
  - g. Roadway Width

- 2. Vehicle Oriented Uses: Where should vehicle-oriented uses including vehicle service/fueling services be located? Should there be use standards related to size, number of pumps, mix of uses, separation of similar uses, or other requirements?
  - Existing Stations
  - Provisional 24 hour operations
  - Proximity to highway
  - Not permitted in enhanced transit corridors
  - Located near multimodal facilities
  - Allow in industrial zones
  - Conditional use permitting for over 6 fueling pumps except IL & IH
  - Vehicle sales & rental = street facing storefront w/ parking in the back
  - Increase (into mixed-use) service/repair w/ lot size consideration

## **TABLE 4**

- 1. Zoning Assumptions: As the proposed zoning is mapped throughout the City, how should the transportation network impact the proposed zoning? Specially, how should the following impact the proposed zoning?
  - a. Major Streets from Richmond 300
    - Interactivity with the buildings (Carytown)
      - o (vs. no interactivity on Broad/Lombardy where it's uncomfy)
    - No monolithic/blank walls
    - Alley
    - Safe access to river crossings (with an arrow drawn to existing Belvidere/ Nickel/ Powhite bridges and circles around neighborhoods on both sides of the river that they could connect)
    - Coffee shops & third places (with arrows pointing to dots representing example locations scattered about residential neighborhoods near Stratford Hills/Cherokee Rd)
    - (A drawing comparing two development scenarios along an example street, using residential low density and The Veil as comparisons)
  - b. Great Streets from Richmond 300
    - What do we do about comfort on Broad St. for peds?
      - Street trees for shade
  - c. Greenways/Shared-Use Paths
  - d. On-street Bike Facilities
    - bike parking req's along bike routes + greenways
    - drawbacks for higher density along the greenways
      - o Beltline in Atlanta
      - Don't want to develop the green space
    - Bike parking should be everywhere... every use. Not just bike routes.
      - Covered bike parking
  - e. Transit Network including Enhanced Transit Map from Richmond 300, the existing and proposed BRT routes, and other transit routes
    - Higher density zoning within ½ mile of BRT stops
    - Street tree req's for large- to mid- scale development

• Park and rides? (not sure... maybe?)

## f. High-Injury Network

- Ped and bike areas/uses interior to neighborhoods so people are not on the HIN [high injury network]
- Pedestrian access req's (especially residential areas) over private land → if we can't connect roads, then private development can provide <u>easements</u> across their land
  - Keep people away from cars
  - o Walk breezeways
- Shorter blocks in the street grid

# g. Roadway Width

- 2. Vehicle Oriented Uses: Where should vehicle-oriented uses including vehicle service/fueling services be located? Should there be use standards related to size, number of pumps, mix of uses, separation of similar uses, or other requirements?
  - Bellevue & MacArthur → MX-4/6
    - o Remove vehicle from MX-4 and 6
    - Don't want them near our homes/ eye sore
    - Incentives for infill for parking lots → city Land Value Tax
      - Infill focus on the mixed use areas first

### **PARKING BOARD**

- City partner with GRTC to educate bus drivers about proper passing distance when passing bikers
- Road widths → can we regulate how wide new streets must be?
- Historic preservation → making sure we don't overzone and encourage too much height so that it causes demolition or loss of historic assets.
- Public vs private school
  - More parking/traffic for private
- Think about zoning around arterial road network as wealth-building, and city revenue generated as useful for fixing problems (schools, aging in place)
- What do we prioritize? Reducing zoning existing use nonconformity? Or future land use implementation?